

12152
(1) (3)

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

M.C.C.NO. 93 /2004

Single Bench

(WRIT PETITION NO.1035/2004)

PAPER UNDER DISPOSAL

PETITIONERS

P.R. No. 1806/04
Presented by Shri P. Tiwari
dated 3-8-04

Received Copy
Shri Yadav
31/8/04
Clerk to Shri
VINAY HARIT
U.O.I.

RECEIVED COPY
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

1. SMT. PREMBAI WIDOW OF
LATE BHAGAT RAM, AGED
ABOUT 52 YEARS, RESIDENT
OF VILLAGE AND POST -
SAKARELI, VIA - BARADWAR,
DISTT. JANJGIR-CHAMPA
(C.G.)
2. RAGHUNANDAN PRASAD,
SON OF LATE BHAGAT RAM,
AGED ABOUT 15 YEARS,
(MINOR), THROUGH :
NATURAL GUARDIAN :
MOTHER (I.E. THE
PETITIONER NO.1),
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE AND
POST SAKARELI, VIA
BARADWAR, DISTT.
JANJGIR-CHAMPA (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. UNION OF INDIA, THROUGH :
MINISTRY OF LABOUR, NEW
DELHI.
2. THE CENTRAL PROVIDENT
FUND COMMISSIONER, NEW
DELHI.
3. THE REGIONAL PROVIDENT
FUND COMMISSIONER,
REGIONAL OFFICE, NEAR
NAVBHARAT PRESS,
RAJBANDHA MAIDAN,
RAIPUR (C.G.)



- (2) (4)
4. THE REGIONAL PROVIDENT
FUND COMMISSIONER,
STATE OF MADHYA
PRADESH, REGIONAL
OFFICE - INDORE (MP)
 5. THE OFFICER ON SPECIAL
DUTY, OFFICE OF N.M.D.C.,
SHANKAR NAGAR ROAD,
RAIPUR (C.G.)
 6. THE ENFORCEMENT
OFFICER EMPLOYEES
PROVIDENT FUND OFFICE,
NEAR CHHATTISGARH
BHAWAN, BILASPUR (C.G.)

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF PERIOD TO MAKE
REPRESENTATION BEFORE THE AUTHORITIES

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक M.C.C. No. 93/2004 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	18.11.2004 Shri A.N. Bhakta, counsel for the applicants. Shri Vinay Harit, Sr. Standing Counsel for the Union of India. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. With consent of both the parties the matter is heard finally. Shri Bhakta, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that in W.P. No. 1035/2004 preferred by the petitioner vide order dated 27.4.2004 this Court had directed the petitioners to make a detailed representation raising their grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 15 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioners may avail the remedy available under the law. He further submits the petitioners could not make a representation before the competent authority due to marriage of the daughter of the petitioner No.1. Counsel for Union of India has no objection in allowing this application. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, the application is allowed. The petitioners are directed to make a fresh representation raising their grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक M.C.C. No. 93/2004 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	petition and this order, within a period of 15 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioners may avail the remedy available under the law. M.C.C. stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- Acting Chief Justice

Vatti

10/11